

## APPENDIX C SAFEGUARDING

### Context

This report combines adult and children's safeguarding data and analysis and provides an overview of safeguarding activity in Quarter 1 of 2015/16. It aims to highlight good practice and identify areas for development/improvement which will be incorporated into delivery plans for the relevant service areas. The children's data (except for the re-referral information) is shared with partners as required by the Local Safeguarding Children's Board (LSCB) performance scorecard.

### CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE UPDATE

#### Early Intervention

There were 40 new Common Assessment Frameworks (CAF's) opened in Quarter 1, 8 of which were referred by Social Care, representing 20% of the total number of CAF for the quarter.

27 cases were closed during the Quarter, 11 with their needs met by single agency, 8 by universal services, 7 were stepped up and 1 withdrawn consent.

Rutland	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Reporting Frequency
Number of new CAF's	40					Quarterly
Number/Proportion of Children's Social Care referrals that result in a CAF*	8					Quarterly
	20%					

\*The proportion of referrals resulting in a CAF is calculated on referrals only, not referrals/contacts

#### Contact referral and assessment

- There was a 47% increase in contacts this quarter (255 as opposed to 177 in quarter 4 2014/15). Of those contacts, 39% (100) went on to referral compared to 44% (76) last quarter.
- 65% of all single assessments closed during Quarter 1, were closed within timescales (40 days)
- There were 28 section 47 enquiries during quarter 1.

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total/ Cumulative	Reporting Frequency
Number of contacts to Children's Social Care (include referrals)	255					Quarterly
Number of referrals to Children's Social Care	100					Quarterly
Number of referrals made by EDT/Out of Hours Team (including those that were recorded as contacts only)	20					Quarterly
Number of single assessments started during Quarter	85					
No. of single assessments closed, and % closed within 40 days	77					Quarterly
	65%					
Number of S47 enquiries	28					Quarterly

## Child Protection

- There were 33 child protection plans at 30<sup>th</sup> June 2015. This is a 22% increase on Quarter 4.
- The largest category of abuse for CP plans at end of March 2015 was emotional, which represented 51% of all plans.
- Of the children with a CP plan for 3 months or more at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2015, 100% had been reviewed within timescales (PI 67).
- Of children with a child protection plan at 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014, the largest age group with 15 children was the 0 to 4 age group (representing 33%) followed by age 10 to 15 representing 45%. 51% of children with CP plans at the end of June 2014 were male, 49% were female. 94% of children subject to plans were White British.

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Cumulative	Reporting Frequency
Number of children subject to a CP Plan	33				n/a	Quarterly
<b>Number/Rate in each Category of Abuse</b>						
Neglect	5				n/a	Quarterly
Physical	0				n/a	
Emotional	17				n/a	
Sexual	1				n/a	
Multiple*	9				n/a	
<b>*Breakdown of Multiple:</b>						
Phys/Neglect/Emotional	1				n/a	Quarterly
Phys/Sexual	1				n/a	
Phys/Emotional	7				n/a	
Unborn	0				n/a	Quarterly
0 - 4	15				n/a	
5 - 9	7				n/a	
10 - 15	9				n/a	
16+	2				n/a	
Male	17				n/a	Quarterly
Female	16				n/a	
Unborn	0				n/a	
Percentage of CP cases which were reviewed within required timescales	100%				<b>100%</b>	Quarterly Target - 100%
Number of CP cases allocated to a Social Worker	100%				<b>100%</b>	Target - 100%

### Looked After Children

<b>Rutland</b>	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Cumulative	Reporting Frequency
Number of Looked After Children	34				n/a	Quarterly
<b>Ethnicity of LAC</b>						
White	32				n/a	Quarterly
Mixed	2				n/a	

Asian					n/a	
Black					n/a	
Other					n/a	
Undetermined					n/a	
0 - 4	9				n/a	
5 - 9	8				n/a	
10 - 15	10				n/a	
16+	7				n/a	
Male	18				n/a	
Female	16				n/a	
Percentage of LAC at period end with 3 or more placements	0%				0%	
LAC cases which were reviewed within required timescales					100%	
Stability of placements of LAC: length of placement					100%	

## ADULTS UPDATE

### Safeguarding Adults Data Collection

58 alerts were received in Q1. As in Q4 the number of community alerts has increased to the extent that it was higher than residential alerts. 11 of this number resulted in the implementation of the Safeguarding Adults Procedures. This represents a decrease from Q4 and reflects how effectively RCC is implementing Making Safeguarding Personal and involving the alleged victims in the Safeguarding process. At the last Safeguarding Effectiveness Group meeting there was a discussion around how Rutland's social care data base records safeguarding referrals to the 'front door' as enquiries rather than referrals. HOS for Adult Social Care and Safeguarding feels that we will leave our current system of recording in place.

Location of alleged abuse	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Reporting Frequency
Community	34					Quarterly
Residential	24					Quarterly
Unknown	0					Quarterly
Source of Referral for all Alerts	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Primary Health Care	2					
Secondary Health Care	4					
Adult Mental Health Setting	0					
Residential	13					
Day Care	1					
Social Worker/Care	12					

Manager				
Self-Directed Care Staff	0			
Domiciliary	4			
Other Care Workers	0			
Self	0			
Family Member	8			
Other Service User	0			
Friend/Neighbour	0			
Care Quality Commission	2			
Housing	3			
Education	0			
Police	2			
Other	2 - EMAS 1 - EDT			
Not Known				

### Closed Cases in Quarter 1

Safeguarding Adults performance data is obtained when a case is closed at the end of the Safeguarding Adults process. 9 cases were closed in Quarter 1. 1 case was not investigated at the request of the alleged victim therefore there is not an outcome for this case. Older people have been consistently the largest service user group represented in safeguarding within adult social care services but in this quarter there were 4 investigations closed resulting from a 'whistle blower' in a learning disability residential provider. There were 5 repeat referrals involving all the alleged victims in the learning disability provider care home and one involving an older person. The repeat referrals in the care provider setting do not cause concerns as the care manager routinely makes safeguarding alerts, is transparent about safeguarding issues and is managing the care needs of those with complex needs.

Outcome	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Substantiated - fully	3			
Substantiated - partially	0			
Not Substantiated	3			
Inconclusive	2			

Primary Client Type	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Older Person	3			
Mental Health	0			
Learning Disability	4			
Physical Disability	0			
Not recorded	1			
Primary Age Group	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4

18-64	4			
65-74	1			
75-84	1			
85-94	2			
95+	0			

Type of Abuse*	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Physical	2			
Sexual	0			
Psychological&Emotional	4			
Financial & Material	0			
Neglect & Acts of Omission	2			
Discriminatory	0			
Institutional	0			
Not Known	0			

\*Cases may include more than one category

Source of Referral	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Primary Health Care	0			
Secondary Health Care	1			
Adult Mental Health Setting	0			
Residential	4			
Day Care	0			
Social Worker/Care Manager	1			
Self-Directed Care Staff	0			
Domiciliary	0			
Other Care Workers	0			
Self	0			
Family Member	1			
Other Service User	0			
Friend/Neighbour	0			
Care Quality Commission	0			
Housing	0			
Education	0			
Police	1			
Other	0			
Not Known	0			

<b>Protection Plans</b>	<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q4</b>
Adult Protection Plans accepted by either the service user or the agencies involved	0			
Adult Protection Plans not accepted	0			
Could not consent	0			

<b>Repeat Referrals</b>	<b>Q1</b>	<b>Q2</b>	<b>Q3</b>	<b>Q4</b>
No of Repeat Referrals	5			